SOLVING MORTIMER & PRELLBERG'S CONJECTURE:

BIJECTION(S) BETWEEN MOTZKIN PATHS AND TRIANGULAR WALKS



Julien COURTIEL (GREYC, Univ. Caen Normandie, France)

in collaboration with Andrew ELVEY-PRICE (Tours, France)
and Irène MARCOVICI (Nancy, France)

WALKING IN TRIANGLATTAN

PART 1

TWO WAYS OF WALKING IN A TRIANGLE



TRIANGLATTAN

DIRECTIONS :



NATTALGNAIRT

DIRECTIONS :







TWO WAYS OF WALKING IN A TRIANGLE



TRIANGLATTAN

DIRECTIONS:

= forward paths





NATTALGNAIRT

DIRECTIONS:



= backward paths



Theorem [Courtiel Elvey-Price Marcovici]

number of forward paths of length n starting from z
=
number of backward paths of length n starting from z
(for any z, n and L)



Isn't it obvious?



Caption: If two points are outlined in blue/red then the numbers of forward/paths with fixed length starting from these points are equal.

TRANSITIONAL OBJECTS



FORWARD PATHS

BACKWARD PATHS

TRANSITIONAL OBJECTS



Definitions

General path = path in Trianglattan using as steps:

$$\rightarrow,\ \nwarrow,\ \swarrow,\ \leftarrow,\ \searrow,\ \nearrow.$$

Direction vector of a general path of length n

$$= \text{word} \in \{F, B\}^n \text{ such that the } i^{th} \text{ letter } = \begin{cases} F & \text{if } i^{th} \text{ step} \in \{\nwarrow, \rightarrow, \swarrow\} \\ B & \text{if } i^{th} \text{ step} \in \{\leftarrow, \nearrow, \searrow\} \end{cases}$$

THE BIJECTION

REWRITTING RULES

LAST-STEP FLIPS

$s_1 \longleftrightarrow \bar{s}_3$

$s_2 \longleftrightarrow \bar{s}_1$

$s_3 \longleftrightarrow \bar{s}_2$

SWAPPING FLIPS

$s_i \bar{s}_j \longleftrightarrow \bar{s}_j s_i \quad i \neq j$

$s_1 \bar{s}_1 \longleftrightarrow \bar{s}_3 s_3$

$s_2 \bar{s}_2 \longleftrightarrow \bar{s}_1 s_1$

$s_3 \bar{s}_3 \longleftrightarrow \bar{s}_2 s_2$









THE BIJECTION

REWRITTING RULES

LAST-STEP FLIPS

$\Delta_1 \longleftrightarrow \overline{\Delta_3}$

$\Delta_2 \longleftrightarrow \overline{\Delta_1}$

$\Delta_3 \longleftrightarrow \overline{\Delta_2}$

SWAPPING FLIPS

$\Delta_i \overline{\Delta_j} \longleftrightarrow \overline{\Delta_j} \Delta_i \quad i \neq j$

$\Delta_1 \overline{\Delta_1} \longleftrightarrow \overline{\Delta_3} \Delta_3$

$\Delta_2 \overline{\Delta_2} \longleftrightarrow \overline{\Delta_1} \Delta_1$

$\Delta_3 \overline{\Delta_3} \longleftrightarrow \overline{\Delta_2} \Delta_2$





WHY IS THERE UNIQUENESS?



SET OF NINE TILES



WHY IS THERE UNIQUENESS?



SET OF NINE TILES



ANSWERING MORTIMER & PRELLBERG'S QUESTION

PART 2

A NEW FAMILY

FORWARD PATHS



forward path starting from origin
(= bottom-left corner)



MOTZKIN PATHS

Motzkin path = path
using
- increasing steps ↗
- horizontal steps →
- decreasing steps ↘

starting at height = 0
staying at height ≥ 0
ending at height = 0



MORTIMER & PRELLBERG'S QUESTION

Theorem [Courtiel Elvey-Price Marcovici]

number of forward paths of length n in a triangle of size $2H+1$

= number of Motzkin paths of length n with height $\leq H$

(for any n and H) And there is a bijection.

MORTIMER & PRELLBERG'S QUESTION

Theorem [Courtiel Elvey-Price Marcovici]

number of forward paths of length n in a triangle of size $2H+1$

= number of Motzkin paths of length n with height $\leq H$

(for any n and H) And there is a bijection.

Actual Mortimer and Prellberg's conjecture

Is there a bijection explaining:

number of general paths of length n in a triangle of size $2H+1$

= number of 2-coloured Motzkin paths of length n with height $\leq H$?

MORTIMER & PRELLBERG'S QUESTION

Theorem [Courtiel Eluey-Price Marcovici]

number of forward paths of length n in a triangle of size $2H+1$

= number of Motzkin paths of length n with height $\leq H$

(for any n and H) And there is a bijection.

Actual Mortimer and Prellberg's conjecture

Is there a bijection explaining:

number of general paths of length n in a triangle of size $2H+1$

= number of 2-coloured Motzkin paths of length n with height $\leq H$?

It's a corollary of our two theorems!

general paths $\xleftrightarrow{\text{bij}}$ forward paths + direction vector

FBBF

MORTIMER & PRELLBERG'S QUESTION

Theorem [Courtiel Elvey-Price Marcovici]

number of forward/general paths of length n in a triangle of size $2H+1$
= number of 1-coloured/2-coloured Motzkin paths of length n with height $\leq H$
(for any n and H) And there is a bijection.

MORTIMER & PRELLBERG'S QUESTION

Theorem [Courtiel Elvey-Price Marcovici]

(*) number of forward/general paths of length n in a triangle of size $2H+1$
= number of 1-coloured/2-coloured Motzkin paths of length n with height $\leq H$
(for any n and H) And there is a bijection.

WHAT WAS KNOWN?

→ (*) was proved by [Mortimer Prellberg 2014] thanks to the Kernel method with 2 catalytic variables.

→ the existence of a bijection was an open question.

→ When $H=+\infty$, many bijections exist:
- Between forward paths & Motzkin paths [Gouyou-Beauchamps 89, Eu 10, Chyzak Yeats 20, ...]
- Between general paths & 2-coloured Motzkin paths [Yeats 14]

EVEN CASE ?

Theorem [Courtiel Elvey-Price Marcovici]

number of forward paths of length n in a triangle of size $2H$

$=$ number of Motzkin paths of length n with height $\leq H$

with <u>no horizontal step</u> at height $= H$

(for any n and H) also explained by a bijection.

FORWARD PATHS



MOTZKIN PATHS



AN ELEMENTARY PROOF

Lemma

number of forward paths of length n starting at



$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k} m_n(i)$$

$(k \leq H)$

where $m_n(i)$ = number of Motzkin paths of length n starting at height $= i$ and with height $\leq H$



AN ELEMENTARY PROOF

Lemma

number of forward paths of length n starting at



$(k \le H)$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k} m_n(i)$$

where $m_n(i)$ = number of Motzkin paths of length n starting at height $= i$ and with height $\le H$



FORWARD PATHS



MOTZKIN PATHS



starting at height $= 0$



starting at height $= 1$

AN ELEMENTARY PROOF

Lemma

number of forward paths of length n starting at $f_n(k)$!!



$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k} m_n(i)$$

where $m_n(i)$ = number of Motzkin paths of length n starting at height $= i$ and with height $\leq H$



$(k \leq H)$

(Half of a) proof:

AN ELEMENTARY PROOF

Lemma

number of forward paths of length n starting at $f_n(k)$!! $= \sum_{i=0}^{k} m_n(i)$ where $m_n(i)$ = number of Motzkin paths of length n starting at height $= i$ and with height $\leq H$



$(k \leq H)$



(Half of a) proof:

We want to show $f_n(k) - f_n(k-1) = m_n(k)$

(In this talk, we assume $0 \ll k \ll H$)

AN ELEMENTARY PROOF

Lemma

number of forward paths of length n starting at $f_n(k)$!!



$$f_n(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} m_n(i)$$

$(k \leq H)$

where $m_n(i)$ = number of Motzkin paths of length n starting at height $= i$ and with height $\leq H$



(Half of a) proof:

We want to show $f_n(k) - f_n(k-1) = m_n(k)$

(In this talk, we assume $0 \ll k \ll H$)

We have $m_n(k) = m_{n-1}(k-1) + m_{n-1}(k) + m_{n-1}(k+1)$



AN ELEMENTARY PROOF

Lemma

number of forward paths of length n starting at



$f_n(k)$!!

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k} m_n(i)$$

$(k \leq H)$

where $m_n(i)$ = number of Motzkin paths of length n starting at height $= i$ and with height $\leq H$



(Half of a) proof:

We want to show $f_n(k) - f_n(k-1) = m_n(k)$

(In this talk, we assume $0 << k << H$)

We have $m_n(k) = m_{n-1}(k-1) + m_{n-1}(k) + m_{n-1}(k+1)$



Let us prove that $f_n(k) - f_n(k-1)$ satisfies the same recurrence.

AN ELEMENTARY PROOF

Lemma

number of forward paths of length n starting at

$f_n(k)$!!



$(k \leq H)$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k} m_n(i)$$

where $m_n(i)$ = number of Motzkin paths of length n starting at height $= i$ and with height $\leq H$



(Half of a) proof:



$$f_n(k) = f_{n-1}(k+1) + \diamond$$

AN ELEMENTARY PROOF

Lemma

number of forward paths of length n starting at

$f_n(k)$!!



$(k \leq H)$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k} m_n(i)$$

where $m_n(i)$ = number of Motzkin paths of length n starting at height $= i$ and with height $\leq H$



(Half of a) proof:



$f_n(k-1) = f_n(k-1) = f_{n-1}(k-2) + \diamond$ $= f_{n-1}(k-2) + \diamond$	$f_n(k) = f_{n-1}(k+1) + \diamond$

AN ELEMENTARY PROOF

Lemma

number of forward paths of length n starting at γ

$f_n(k)$!!



$(k \leq H)$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k} m_n(i)$$

where $m_n(i)$ = number of Motzkin paths of length n starting at height $= i$ and with height $\leq H$



(Half of a) proof:



$f_n(k-1) = b_n(k-1) = b_{n-1}(k-2) + \diamond$ $= f_{n-1}(k-2) + \diamond$	$f_n(k) = f_{n-1}(k+1) + \diamond$

$$f_n(k) - f_n(k-1) = f_{n-1}(k+1) - f_{n-1}(k-2)$$

AN ELEMENTARY PROOF

Lemma

number of forward paths of length n starting at

$f_n(k)$!!



$(k \leq H)$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k} m_n(i)$$

where $m_n(i)$ = number of Motzkin paths of length n starting at height $= i$ and with height $\leq H$



(Half of a) proof:



$f_n(k-1) = f_n(k-1) = f_{n-1}(k-2) + \diamond$ $= f_{n-1}(k-2) + \diamond$	$f_n(k) = f_{n-1}(k+1) + \diamond$

$$f_n(k) - f_n(k-1) = f_{n-1}(k+1) - f_{n-1}(k-2)$$
$$= \big(f_{n-1}(k+1) - f_{n-1}(k)\big) + \big(f_{n-1}(k) - f_{n-1}(k-1)\big) + \big(f_{n-1}(k-1) - f_{n-1}(k-2)\big)$$

THE "HEADACHE" BIJECTION

By the previous lemma, there should be a bijection

between $\left\{ \text{forward paths starting at} \right.$ 

$\left. \right\}$

and

$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Motzkin paths} \\ \text{starting at height} = k \end{array} \right\} \cup \left\{ \text{forward paths starting at} \right.$ 

$\left. \right\}$

THE "HEADACHE" BIJECTION



By the previous lemma, there should be a bijection

between $\left\{ \text{forward paths starting at } \right.$  $\left. \right\}$

and

$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Motzkin paths} \\ \text{starting at height} = k \end{array} \right\} \cup \left\{ \text{forward paths starting at } \right.$  $\left. \right\}$

MANY OTHER BIJECTIONS

PART 3

PROFILE

coordinates of a point z $= (i, j, k)$ such that





Definition

profile of a point with coordinates (i, j, k) = vector $(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_H)$

$$\frac{(1-x^{i+1})(1-x^{j+1})(1-x^{k+1})}{(1-x)^2}$$

$$= p_0 + p_1 x + \dots + p_H x^H$$

$$+ p_{H+1} x^{H+1} + \dots + p_{L+1} x^{L+1}$$

Ex: For $(1, 1, 3)$,

$$\frac{(1-x^2)^2(1-x^4)}{(1-x)^2} = 1 + 2x + 1x^2 - x^4 - 2x^5 - x^6$$

THE GENERALISATION OF THE EARLIER LEMMA

Lemma

number of forward paths of length n starting at



z with profile $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_H$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{H} p_i \times m_n(i)$$

where $m_n(i)$ = number of Motzkin paths of length n starting at height $= i$ and with height $\leq H$





THE GENERALISATION OF THE EARLIER LEMMA

Lemma

number of forward paths of length n starting at



z with profile $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_H$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{H} p_i \times m_n(i)$$

where $m_n(i)$ = number of Motzkin paths of length n starting at height $= i$ and with height $\leq H$



So there should be a bijection between

$\{(\text{cell of } z, \text{Motzkin path})\}$

compatible height

and

$\{\text{forward paths starting from } z\}$



SCAFFOLDING AND BIJECTION

Definition

scaffolding = a riot of arrows like this



Theorem

For each scaffolding, there is a bijection between forward paths and Motzkin paths

SCAFFOLDING AND BIJECTION

Definition

scaffolding = a riot of arrows like this



Ex:



H≤1

Theorem

For each scaffolding, there is a bijection between forward paths and Motzkin paths

GENERALISATION

PART 4

TRIANGLATTAN IN HIGHER DIMENSION?

Actually,

Trianglattan of size L =

$$\{ i\, e_1 + j\, e_2 + k\, e_3 : i + j + k = L \}$$

and step set:

$$\underbrace{e_1 - e_3}_{\rightarrow},\ \underbrace{e_2 - e_1}_{\nwarrow},\ \underbrace{e_3 - e_2}_{\swarrow}$$



TRIANGLATTAN IN HIGHER DIMENSION?

Actually,

Trianglattan of size L =

$$\{ i\, e_1 + j\, e_2 + k\, e_3 \;:\; i + j + k = L \}$$

and step set:

$$\underbrace{e_1 - e_3}_{\rightarrow},\ \underbrace{e_2 - e_1}_{\nwarrow},\ \underbrace{e_3 - e_2}_{\swarrow}$$



$\Downarrow$ shift in higher dimension

Hyperattan of dimension d =

$$\{ i_1 e_1 + i_2 e_2 + \dots + i_d e_d \;:\; \sum_{k=1}^{d} i_k = L \}$$

Step set: $e_1 - e_d,\ e_2 - e_1,\ \dots,\ e_d - e_{d-1}$

Extension of the previous theorems?

- Symmetry between forward paths & backward paths?
- Bijection with other family of paths?

TRIANGLATTAN IN HIGHER DIMENSION?

Actually,

Trianglattan of size L =

$$\{i e_1 + j e_2 + k e_3 : i+j+k = L\}$$

and step set:

$$\underbrace{e_1-e_3}_{\rightarrow},\ \underbrace{e_2-e_1}_{\nwarrow},\ \underbrace{e_3-e_2}_{\swarrow}$$



$\Downarrow$ shift in higher dimension

Hyperattan of dimension d =

$$\left\{i_1 e_1 + i_2 e_2 + \dots + i_d e_d : \sum_{k=1}^{d} i_k = L\right\}$$

Step set: $e_1 - e_d,\ e_2 - e_1,\ \dots,\ e_d - e_{d-1}$

<u>Extension of the previous theorems?</u>

- Symmetry between forward paths & backward paths? Yes, EZ
- Bijection with other family of paths?

TRIANGLATTAN IN HIGHER DIMENSION?

Actually,

Trianglattan of size L =

$$\{i\,e_1 + j\,e_2 + k\,e_3 : i+j+k = L\}$$

and step set:

$$\underbrace{e_1 - e_3}_{\rightarrow},\ \underbrace{e_2 - e_1}_{\nwarrow},\ \underbrace{e_3 - e_2}_{\swarrow}$$



$\Downarrow$ shift in higher dimension

Hyperattan of dimension d =

$$\left\{ i_1 e_1 + i_2 e_2 + \dots + i_d e_d : \sum_{k=1}^{d} i_k = L \right\}$$

Step set: $e_1 - e_d,\ e_2 - e_1,\ \dots,\ e_d - e_{d-1}$

Extension of the previous theorems?

- Symmetry between forward paths & backward paths? Yes, EZ
- Bijection with other family of paths? Only dimension 4...

A NEW BIJECTION





{"pyramidal" walks} $\xleftrightarrow{\text{bijection}}$ {"waffle" walks}

A NEW BIJECTION





{"pyramidal" walks} $\xleftrightarrow{\text{bijection}}$ {"waffle" walks}

The counting of these walks was an open question from [Mortimer Prellberg]

A NEW BIJECTION





{"pyramidal" walks} $\xleftrightarrow{\text{bijection}}$ {"waffle" walks}

The counting of these walks was an open question from [Mortimer Prellberg]

Theorem The generating function is

$$P(t) = \frac{1}{(L+4)^2} \sum_{\substack{1\le j<k\le L+3 \\ 2\nmid j,k}}^{L+4} \frac{(\alpha^k+\alpha^{-k}-\alpha^j-\alpha^{-j})^2(2+\alpha^j+\alpha^{-j})(2+\alpha^{-k}+\alpha^k)}{1-(\alpha^j+\alpha^{-j}+\alpha^k+\alpha^{-k})t}$$

where $\alpha = e^{\frac{j\pi}{L+4}}$